

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,475	11/30/2001	Roger Proksch	41369/LTR/A656	4570	
20985	7590 03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL			PATIDAR, JAY M		
	MINO REAL , CA 92130-2081	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2862		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- I a						
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/016,47	75	PROKSCH ET AL.	(Ou			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jay M. Pa		2862				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO cions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication teriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta ply received by the Office later than three months after the may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no evolution reply within the stateriod will apply and water cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1) 🖂 🖪	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 October 200	4.					
·	·	This action is n						
•	· -							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-3 and 61-69 is/are pending in the algorithm of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 61-69 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from co						
Application	on Papers							
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examente drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2001. Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the confine oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) athe drawing(s) I	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed according to the priority documed application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beenents have been have beenents have beenenents have beenenenents have beenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenen	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

1. This communication is in response to applicants' amendment filed on October 28, 2004.

2. Claims 61-62,65-68 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 61, this claim claims the subject matter which is already in claim 1. In claims 62,66, there is no antecedent basis for "core forms"; it is unclear as to what is meant by the phrase "forms collectively means for reducing Barkhausen noise"; it is vague as to how such noise is reduced.

In claim 65, it is unclear as to "a signal" at line 2 is the same signal claimed in claim 64.

In claim 67, there is no antecedent basis for "core forms".

In claim 68, it is unclear as to "a signal" at line 2 is the same signal claimed in claim 67.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 2, two coils for inside coil form must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,475

Art Unit: 2862

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Claims 1-3,61-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neff (2,452,862) in view of applicants admitted prior art (AAPA) & common knowledge in the art.

As to claims 1,61-68, Neff discloses a displacement apparatus including a first and second non-magnetic coil forms (2,6) with a common axis, each wound with at least one winding (3,4,5); the outside diameter of the first form with its winding or windings being smaller than the inside diameter of the second form (Note Fig. 2) so that each may be displaced relative to the other with the first form inside the second form; the winding on the movable form magnetically coupled to the winding on the stationary form and a circuit generating a signal responsive to relative displacement between the coil forms. Neff does not explicitly disclose a means for reducing noise. Applicants admitted prior art teaches to remove Barkhausen noise from the output signal by various means (Note pages 5-6). Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of

Neff to have included a means to reduce the noise from the output signal as taught by applicants admitted prior art to enhance the sensitivity of the device.

Neff does not explicitly disclose that the forms are non-ferromagnetic. It is common in the magnetic field art to have a coil form made from non-ferromagnetic material. One ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use non-ferromagnetic coil forms since they are very well known in the art for their use.

As to claim 2, Neff discloses two coils on inside coil form and one coil for outside coil form (Fig. 4).

As to claim 3, Neff discloses two coils on outside coil form and one for inside coil form (Fig. 1).

As to claim 69, the method claim 69 recited for using the apparatus in claims 64-66 are an inherent use of the apparatus of Neff &AAPA and are rejected on the same grounds. One of ordinary skill would find it inherent that the apparatus of Neff modified with AAPA and common knowledge in the art operates in the functional manner claimed by applicant.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,475 Page 6

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 703-308-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0956.

Primary Examiner Tech Center 2862 March 10, 2005